# $[Cu(NCS)(C_{14}H_{32}N_4)]ClO_4$

Crystal data

$[Cu(NCS)(C_{14}H_{32}N_4)]ClO_4$ $M_r = 477.50$ Monoclinic $P2_1/c$ a = 8.9245 (10) Å b = 14.9611 (10) Å c = 15.7331 (13) Å $\beta = 96.184 (10)^\circ$ $V = 2088.5 (3) Å^3$ Z = 4 $D_r = 1.519 Mg m^{-3}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å Cell parameters from 22 reflections $\theta = 5.45-15.74^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.30 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293  K Cubic $0.25 \times 0.13 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ Light blue
$D_x = 1.519$ Mg m $^2$ $D_m$ not measured	
Data collection	
Nonius CAD-4 diffractom- eter	2514 reflections with $I > 1.5\sigma(I)$

$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.019$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.93^{\circ}$
$\psi$ scan (North <i>et al.</i> ,	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
1968)	$k = 0 \rightarrow 17$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.703, T_{\rm max} = 0.867$	$l = 0 \rightarrow 18$
3926 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
3675 independent reflections	frequency: 60 min
-	intensity decay: 1.0%

#### Refinement

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.39 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
R = 0.041	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.052	Extinction correction:
S = 1.13	Larson (1970)
2513 reflections	Extinction coefficient:
245 parameters	$8(2) \times 10^{3}$
H atoms not refined	Scattering factors from Inter
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.001F^2]$	national Tables for X-ray
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$	Crystallography (Vol. IV)

## Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu—N1	2.080 (4)	Cu—N4	2.097 (4)
Cu—N2	2.094 (4)	Cu—N5	2.116 (4)
CuN3	2.143 (4)	SC15	1.628 (5)
N1CuN2	85.45 (15)	Cu-N1C3	106.4 (3)
N1—Cu—N3	145.46 (15)	Cu—N2—C4	104.4 (3)
N1CuN4	92.40 (15)	Cu—N2—C5	115.3 (3)
N1-Cu-N5	114.57 (16)	Cu—N2—C6	112.1 (3)
N2CuN3	92.80 (16)	Cu—N3—C8	112.1 (3)
N2—Cu—N4	174.37 (15)	Cu-N3C9	114.7 (3)
N2CuN5	93.53 (15)	Cu-N3-C10	103.8 (3)
N3—Cu—N4	86.02 (15)	Cu—N4—C11	103.0 (3)
N3CuN5	99.98 (16)	Cu-N4-C12	115.7 (3)
N4—Cu—N5	92.09 (16)	Cu-N4-C13	112.8 (3)
Cu-N1C1	114.0(3)	Cu-N5-C15	144.6 (4)
CuN1C2	111.2 (3)	SC15N5	178.9 (4)

Data reduction: NRCVAX DATRD2 (Gabe et al., 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: NRCVAX SOLVER. Program(s) used to refine structure: NRCVAX LSTSQ. Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) in NRCVAX. Software used to prepare material for publication: NRCVAX TABLES.

The authors thank the National Science Council, Republic of China, for support under grants NSC87-2112-M007-009 and NSC87-2113-M007-041. Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OA1047). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Ahrland, S., Chatt, J. & Davies, N. R. (1958). Q. Rev. Chem. Soc. 12, 265-269.
- Alcock, N. W., Herron, N. & Moore, P. (1978). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1282-1288.
- Barefield, E. K. & Wagner, F. (1973). Inorg. Chem. 12, 2435-2436.
- Burmeister, J. L. (1990). Coord. Chem. Rev. 105, 77-105.
- D'Aniello, M. J., Mocella, M. T., Wagner, F., Barefield, E. K. & Paul, I. C. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 192–194.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Hodges, K. D., Wollmann, R. G., Kessel, S. L., Hendrickson, D. N., Van Deveer, D. G. & Barefield, E. K. (1979). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 906-917.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kato, M. & Ito, T. (1985). Inorg. Chem. 24, 509-514.
- Larson, A. C. (1970). Crystallographic Computing, p. 293. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Lee, T.-J., Lee, T.-Y., Hong, C.-Y., Wu, D.-T. & Chung, C.-S. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 999–1001.
- Lu, T.-H., Tahirov, T.-H., Liu, Y.-L., Chung, C.-S., Huang, C.-C. & Hong, S.-H. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1093–1095.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Pearson, R. G. (1963). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 3533-3539.
- Tahirov, T.-H., Lu, T.-H., Lan, W.-J. & Chung, C.-S. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1908-1910.
- Tahirov, T.-H., Lu, T.-H., Luh, H., Lai, C.-Y. & Chung, C.-S. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 846–849.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 1072-1074

# Bis[*N*-(2-bromo-4-methylphenyl)naphthaldiminato]copper(II)

Yalçın Elerman,<sup>a</sup> Ayhan Elmali<sup>a</sup> and Süheyla Özbey<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics Engineering, Faculty of Sciences, University of Ankara, 06100 Besevler, Ankara, Turkey, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics Engineering, Hacettepe University, 06532 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey. E-mail: elmali@science. ankara.edu.tr

(Received 6 November 1997; accepted 24 February 1998)

### Abstract

The title compound, bis[1-(2-bromo-4-methylphenyliminomethyl)-2-naphtholato-N,O]copper(II), [Cu(C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>13</sub>-BrNO)<sub>2</sub>], has crystallographic inversion symmetry. The Cu<sup>II</sup> ion shows a pseudo-square-planar coordination. The Cu—N and Cu—O distances are 1.990(4) and 1.880(4) Å, respectively. The Cu<sup>II</sup> ion is in an approximate octahedral environment if bromine is included in the description, with a non-bonded  $Cu \cdots Br$  distance of 3.296 (1) Å.

#### Comment

Because of their preparative accessibility and structural variability, a great number of Schiff base complexes of copper have been the subject of extensive studies (Garnovskii *et al.*, 1993). Despite this, there are few reported X-ray crystal structures of naphthalenic Schiff base–copper compounds (Acevedo-Arauz *et al.*, 1992). We report here the results of the reaction of copper(II) with the ligand N-(2-bromo-4-methylphenyl)naphthald-imine, which produced the title compound, (I).



The title molecule (Fig. 1) has crystallographic inversion symmetry. The coordination of the Cu<sup>II</sup> ion is necessarily planar by symmetry. A strictly planar or slightly distorted trans configuration is characteristic for transition metal complexes of Cu<sup>II</sup> with a CuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> coordination sphere (Garnovskii et al., 1993). In the title compound, the Cu<sup>II</sup> ion is bonded to the O and N donor atoms of the two ligand molecules in a trans arrangement. The Cu-N and Cu-O distances are 1.990(4) and 1.880(4) Å, respectively. The O---Cu---N angle is 90.2(2)°. The Cu-N distances range from 1.97 to 2.01 Å, while the Cu—O distances have values between 1.87 and 1.92 Å in (1,2-naphthaldiminato)copper complexes (Clark et al., 1975, 1977; Martin & Waters, 1973; Shnulin et al., 1978; Acevedo-Arauz et al., 1992). The Cu-N and Cu-O values in (I) agree with those in other naphthalenic complexes. The Cu<sup>II</sup> ion is in a pseudo-octahedral environment if bromine is included in the description. The non-bonded Cu-..Br distance is 3.296(1) Å. The title molecule is not planar; the Cu<sup>II</sup> ion lies 0.238(2) Å below the plane formed by the Cu1, O1, C1, C10, C11 and N1 atoms. This plane is inclined at an angle of  $18.6(1)^{\circ}$  with respect to the coordination plane. The two Schiff base moieties, A (O1, C1-C11) and B (Br, N1, O2, C12-C18), are inclined at an angle of  $43.0(1)^{\circ}$  with respect to one another, which is mainly the twist about N1--C12 [54.3 (7)°]. The N atom shows  $sp^2$  hybridization, with a bond-angle sum of 359.5 (4)°.

Discrete monomeric molecules are held together in the crystal by van der Waals interactions. The minimum distances between two non-H atoms in neigh-



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids (ORTEPII; Johnson, 1976).

bouring molecules are  $C8 \cdots O1$ ,  $C8 \cdots C13$ ,  $C9 \cdots C13$ and  $O1 \cdots C7$  with the values of 3.400(7), 3.466(9), 3.483(9) and 3.518(8) Å, respectively.

## **Experimental**

For the preparation of (I), solutions of 2-hydroxynaphthalene-1-carbaldehyde (0.50 mmol) and 2-bromo-4-methylaniline (0.50 mmol) in 75 ml acetonitrile, and  $[Cu(O_2CCH_3)_2].4H_2O$ (0.25 mmol) in 40 ml methanol were heated to boiling, mixed and refluxed for 3 d. Crystals of the product were obtained on cooling.

```
Crystal data
```

[Cu(CuHuBrNO)]	Mo $K_{\alpha}$ radiation
	No Na Taulation
$M_r = 741.95$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ A}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 20
$P2_{1}/c$	reflections
a = 9.255(1) Å	$\theta = 4.12 - 14.43^{\circ}$
b = 12.524(2) Å	$\mu = 3.383 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 13.538(2) Å	T = 293 (2)  K
$\beta = 104.32(1)^{\circ}$	Prism
$V = 1520.4 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.28 \times 0.18 \times 0.10$ mm
Z = 2	Purple-red
$D_x = 1.621 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
$D_m$ not measured	

1866 reflections with

3 standard reflections

frequency: 120 min

intensity decay: 3.2%

 $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.018$ 

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 11$ 

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 15$ 

 $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.14^{\circ}$ 

#### Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\theta/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan (*MolEN*; Fair, 1990)  $T_{min} = 0.496, T_{max} = 0.713$ 2762 measured reflections 2760 independent reflections

#### Refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + 3.7194P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.491 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -0.326 e Å <sup>-3</sup>
Extinction correction: none
Scattering factors from
International Tables for
Crystallography (Vol. C)

### Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—O1 <sup>1</sup>	1.880 (4)	N1—C11	1.295 (6)
Cu1—N1 <sup>1</sup>	1.990 (4)	N1—C12	1.434 (6)
Br1—C18	1.900 (6)	O1—C1	1.293 (6)
O1-Cu1-N1 <sup>1</sup>	90.2 (2)	O1C1C2	116.0 (5)
C11-N1-C12	118.2 (4)	N1C11C10	126.2 (5)
C11-N1-Cu1	122.3 (4)	C13C12N1	122.1 (5)
C12-N1Cu1	119.0 (3)	C18C12N1	120.9 (5)
C12-O1-Cu1	124.8 (3)	C17C18Br1	118.9 (5)
O1C1C10	124.8 (5)	C12C18Br1	119.3 (4)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

The title structure was solved by direct phase determination. The parameters of the complete structure could be refined by full-matrix anisotropic least squares. All phenyl rings were refined without constraints. Distance and angle values in the rings show no significant differences from those of an ideal benzene ring. All H-atom positions were calculated using a riding model with fixed  $U_{iso}$  values in all refinements.

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf-Nonius, 1994). Cell refinement: *MolEN* (Fair, 1990). Data reduction: *MolEN*. Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*93.

The authors wish to acknowledge the purchase of a CAD-4 diffractometer under grant DPT/TBAG1 of the Scientific and Technical Council of Turkey.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: AB1532). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Acevedo-Arauz, E., Fernández-G., J. M., Rosales-Hoz, M. & Toscano, R. A. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 115–120.
- Clark, G. R., Waters, J. M. & Waters, T. N. (1975). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 37, 2455-2458.
- Clark, G. R., Waters, J. M. & Williams, G. J. (1977). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 39, 1971–1975.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Version 5.1/1.2. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft. The Netherlands.

- Garnovskii, A. D., Nivorozhkin, A. L. & Minkin, V. I. (1993). Coord. Chem. Rev. 126, 1–69.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Martin, D. W. & Waters, T. N. (1973). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2440–2443.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shnulin, A. N., Mamedov, Kh. S. & Stuchkov, Yu. Y. (1978). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 19, 686–688.